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Bureya continental massif is one of the largest continental massifs in the eastern part of the Central Asian orogenic belt (CAOB) (Fig. 1), and knowledge of its geological structure is of fundamental importance in understanding the history of its formation.

The 'basement' of the Bureya massif is commonly thought to be composed of metamorphic rocks of the Amur Group, which were, until recently, believed to be of Early Precambrian age [Krasny, Peng, 1999; Khanchuk, 2006]. However, U-Pb geochronological and Sm-Nd isotopic studies of metasedimentary rocks of the Amur Group demonstrate that the protoliths of these metamorphic rocks were formed in the Late Proterozoic and/or Paleozoic, and they were most probably formed in the Early Mesozoic. In addition, the juxtaposed metamorphic and structural transformations are associated with the Paleozoic and Mesozoic, but not with the Precambrian evolutionary episode of the CAOB [Kotov et al., 2009a, 2009b; Sal’nikova et al., 2013].

In this regard, age dating of the most ancient complexes in the structure of the Bureya massif is essential to understanding the history of its formation.

Here we report LA-ICP-MS U-Pb geochronological data for detrital zircons from the Lower Cambrian deposits of the Mel’gin trough. The geochronologic studies were conducted at the Arizona LaserChron Center (Analytical techniques see at https://sites.google.com/a/laserchron.org/laserchron/home).
The Mel'gin trough is located in the central part of the Bureya massif and is formed by Vendian (Mel'gin Formation) and Lower Cambrian (Chergilen and Allin Formations) terrigenous-carbonate sediments. The Mel'gin Formation, as much as 1000 m thick, is made up (according to Petruk, Volkova, 2012) of lime-stone, occasionally highly crystallized and dolomitic limestone, with beds of dolomite and interbeds of sandstone, phyllite shale, siltstone, mudstone, and phosphorite. Paniscollenia sp., Linella sp., and Venicularites sp. fossil remains were found in the Lower Mel'gin drainage basin, and Venicularites sp. was found on the Bureya left bank, suggesting a Vendian age of deposits [Petruk, Volkova, 2012].

The Chergilen Formation (according to Petruk, Volkova, 2012) consists of coaly-clay and calcareous-clay shale, sericite and chert, siltstone, sandstone, and limestone. Limestones, conglomerates or gravelites are frequently found at the base of the 700-m-thick Chergilen Formation. The algae Epiphyton durum Korde occurring in limestone, Girwanella problematica Nich. and archeocyanates prints suggest a Lower Cambrian age of the Chergilen Formation [Petruk, Volkova, 2012].

The Allin Formation (according to Petruk, Volkova, 2012) totaling 600 m in thickness is formed essentially by sandstone interbedded with gravelstone and conglomerate, as well as by limestone lenses. Lower Cambrian fossil remains of Aldanocyathus sp., Coscinocyathus sp., and Bija sibirica Masl. were found in the limestone [Petruk, Volkova, 2012].

There was no discordance in age for 118 of 130 detrital zircon grains from the siltstone of the Chergilen Formation (sample K-30-1). These zircons are mostly Late Riphean (36 %, peak at ~935 Ma) and Early Riphean (27 %, peak at ~1.4 Ga) in age (Fig. 2, a). The Middle Riphean (19 %) and Lower Proterozoic (14 %) zircons are subordinate and do not form distinct peaks on the histogram, and the Archean zircons are represented by single grains (3 %).

A total of 82 of 115 detrital zircon grains from the siltstone of the Allin Formation (sample 101929) yielded concordant ages. Late Riphean zircons are most
abundant (87%, peak at ~913 Ma) (Fig. 2, b), and zircon grains of Middle Riphean (6%) Early Riphean (6%) and Early Proterozoic (1%) ages are subordinate.

According to the research findings, Late Riphean zircons (peaks on the plots at ~935 Ma and ~913 Ma) are clearly dominant among the detrital zircons from siltstones of the Chergilen and Allin Formations of the Mel’gin trough of the Bureya massif. Late Riphean intrusions of the gabbro and granite, which have been recently identified in the structure of the massif based on the geochronological data, appear to be their source [Sorokin et al., 2016]. The question of source rocks for zircons of an older age is to be answered. The reason for this is the lack of reliable data on pre-Late Riphean intrusions in the structure of the Bureya massif, as well as the nearby Jiamusi massif (see reviews in [Wu et al., 2011]).
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